

Application Number 09/963,806
Amendment Responsive to Office Action mailed September 12, 2006

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 17 2006

AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions and listings of claims in the application.

Listing of Claims:

Claim 1 (Currently Amended): A method comprising:
receiving output in an XML-tagged format from a router system;
querying a server selected as a function of a the type of the output; and
providing a response from the server to a user,
wherein querying a server selected as a function of the type of the output comprises
invoking a command line interface (CLI) module to issue a query to the server.

Claim 2 (Original): The method of claim 1, wherein the output is a numeric address.

Claim 3 (Previously Presented): The method of claim 2, further comprising:
querying a name server selected as a function of the type of the output;
receiving from the name server a symbolic name associated with the numeric address;
and
providing the symbolic name as the response to the user.

Claim 4 (Previously Presented): The method of claim 2, further comprising:
querying an owner database selected as a function of the type of the output;
receiving from the owner database an identification of an owner associated with the
numeric address; and
providing the identification of the owner as the response to the user.

Application Number 09/963,806
Amendment Responsive to Office Action mailed September 12, 2006

Claim 5 (Previously Presented): The method of claim 2, further comprising:
querying a router policy database selected as a function of the type of the output;
receiving from the router policy database an identification of one or more router policies associated with the numeric address; and
providing the identification of the one or more router policies as the response to the user.

Claim 6 (Cancelled).

Claim 7 (Previously Presented): The method of claim 1, further comprising rendering the output in a text format different from the format describing a type of the output before querying the server.

Claim 8 (Original): The method of claim 7, wherein the text format is selected from the group consisting of an ASCII format, a UTF-8 format, and a Unicode format.

Claim 9 (Original): The method of claim 1, wherein the output comprises a listing of network peers identified by numeric addresses.

Claim 10 (Cancelled)

Claim 11 (Previously Presented): A method for processing an address, the method comprising:

receiving a numeric address in an XML-tagged format from a router system module;
querying a name server to resolve the numeric address to a symbolic name;
providing the symbolic name from the server to a user; and
rendering the numeric address in a text format different from the XML-tagged format before querying the name server.

Claim 12 (Cancelled).

Application Number 09/963,806
Amendment Responsive to Office Action mailed September 12, 2006

Claim 13 (Cancelled).

Claim 14 (Previously Presented): The method of claim 11, wherein the text format is selected from the group consisting of an ASCII format, a UTF-8 format, and a Unicode format.

Claim 15 (Original): The method of claim 11, wherein the numeric address identifies a network peer.

Claim 16 (Previously Presented): A method for processing an address, the method comprising:

- receiving a command in a user interface module;
- invoking a system module to process the command;
- receiving an XML-tagged IP address from the system module;
- querying a domain name server to resolve the IP address to a symbolic name, wherein the IP address identifies a network peer; and
- providing the symbolic name from the server to a user.

Claim 17 (Previously Presented): The method of claim 16, further comprising rendering the IP address in a text format different from an XML-tagged format of the IP address before querying the domain name server.

Claim 18 (Original): The method of claim 17, wherein the text format is selected from the group consisting of an ASCII format, a UTF-8 format, and a Unicode format.

Claim 19 (Cancelled).

**Application Number 09/963,806
Amendment Responsive to Office Action mailed September 12, 2006**

Claim 20 (Currently Amended): A processor-readable data storage medium comprising instructions for causing a programmable processor to:

receive output from a router system module in an XML-tagged format;

query a server selected as a function of a the type of the output; and

provide a response from the server to a user,

wherein querying a server selected as a function of the type of the output comprises invoking a command line interface (CLI) module to issue a query to the server.

Claim 21 (Original): The processor-readable medium of claim 20, wherein the output is a numeric address.

Claim 22 (Previously Presented): The processor-readable medium of claim 21, further comprising instructions for causing the programmable processor to:

query a name server selected as a function of the type of the output;

receive from the name server a symbolic name associated with the numeric address; and

provide the symbolic name as the response to the user.

Claim 23 (Previously Presented): The processor-readable medium of claim 20, further comprising instructions for causing the programmable processor to:

query an owner database selected as a function of the type of the output;

receive from the owner database an identification of an owner associated with the numeric address; and

provide the identification of the owner as the response to the user.

Claim 24 (Previously Presented): The processor-readable medium of claim 20, further comprising instructions for causing the programmable processor to:

query a router policy database selected as a function of the type of the output;

receive from the router policy database an identification of one or more router policies associated with the numeric address; and

provide the identification of the one or more router policies as the response to the user.

**Application Number 09/963,806
Amendment Responsive to Office Action mailed September 12, 2006**

Claim 25 (Cancelled).

Claim 26 (Previously Presented): The processor-readable medium of claim 20, further comprising instructions for causing the programmable processor to render the output in a text format different from the format describing a type of the output before querying the server.

Claim 27 (Original): The processor-readable medium of claim 26, wherein the text format is selected from the group consisting of an ASCII format, a UTF-8 format, and a Unicode format.

Claim 28 (Original): The processor-readable medium of claim 20, wherein the output comprises a listing of network peers identified by numeric addresses.

Claim 29 (Previously Presented): A processor-readable data storage medium comprising instructions for causing a programmable processor to:

- receive a numeric address in an XML-tagged format from a router system module;
- query a name server to resolve the numeric address to a symbolic name;
- render the numeric address in a text format different from the XML-tagged format before querying the name server; and
- provide the symbolic name from the server to a user.

Claim 30 (Cancelled).

Claim 31 (Cancelled)

Claim 32 (Previously Presented): The processor-readable medium of claim 29, wherein the text format is selected from the group consisting of an ASCII format, a UTF-8 format, and a Unicode format.

Claim 33 (Original): The processor-readable medium of claim 29, wherein the numeric address identifies a network peer.

**Application Number 09/963,806
Amendment Responsive to Office Action mailed September 12, 2006**

Claim 34 (Previously Presented): A processor-readable data storage medium comprising instructions for causing a programmable processor to:

- receive a command in a user interface module;**
- invoke a system module to process the command;**
- receive an XML-tagged IP address from the system module;**
- query a domain name server to resolve the IP address to a symbolic name, wherein the IP address identifies a network peer; and**
- provide the symbolic name from the server to a user.**

Claim 35 (Previously Presented): The processor-readable medium of claim 34, further comprising instructions for causing the programmable processor to render the IP address in a text format different from an XML-tagged format of the IP address before querying the domain name server.

Claim 36 (Original): The processor-readable medium of claim 35, wherein the text format is selected from the group consisting of an ASCII format, a UTF-8 format, and a Unicode format.

Claim 37 (Cancelled).

Claim 38 (Currently Amended): A routing device comprising:

- a client interface to receive an operational request from a network router client; and**
- a router system module to process the operation request and to provide output to the client interface in an XML-tagged format, wherein the output is a numeric address,**
- wherein the client interface is configured to query a server selected as a function of a the type of the output and to provide a response from the server to the network router client.**

Claim 39 (Cancelled).

Application Number 09/963,806

Amendment Responsive to Office Action mailed September 12, 2006

Claim 40 (Previously Presented): The routing device of claim 38, wherein the client interface is further configured to:

query a name server selected as a function of the type of the output;
receive from the name server a symbolic name associated with the numeric address; and
provide the symbolic name as the response to the network router client.

Claim 41 (Previously Presented): The routing device of claim 38, wherein the client interface is further configured to:

query an owner database selected as a function of the type of the output;
receive from the owner database an identification of an owner associated with the numeric address; and
provide the identification of the owner as the response to the user.

Claim 42 (Previously Presented): The routing device of claim 38, wherein the client interface is further configured to:

query a router policy database selected as a function of the type of the output;
receive from the router policy database an identification of one or more router policies associated with the numeric address; and
provide the identification of the one or more router policies as the response to the user.

Claim 43 (Previously Presented): A routing device comprising:

a client interface to receive an operational request from a network router client; and
a router system module to process the operational request and to provide output to the client interface in a format that describes a type of the output,
wherein the client interface is configured to query a server selected as a function of the type of the output and to provide a response from the server to the network router client,
wherein the output is provided from the server to the client interface in an XML-tagged format.

**Application Number 09/963,806
Amendment Responsive to Office Action mailed September 12, 2006**

Claim 44 (Currently Amended): A routing device comprising:

a client interface to receive an operational request from a network router client; and

a router system module to process the operational request and to provide output to the client interface in an XML-tagged format,

wherein the client interface is configured to query a server selected as a function of a the type of the output and to provide a response from the server to the network router client, and

wherein the client interface is further configured to render the output in a text format different from the XML-tagged format before querying the server.

Claim 45 (Original): The routing device of claim 44, wherein the text format is selected from the group consisting of an ASCII format, a UTF-8 format, and a Unicode format.

Claim 46 (Currently Amended): A routing device comprising:

a client interface to receive an operation request from a network router client; and

a router system module to process the operational request and to provide output to the client interface in an XML-tagged format,

wherein the client interface is configured to query a server selected as a function of a the type of the output and to provide a response from the server to the network router client, and

wherein the output comprises a listing of network peers identified by numeric addresses.

Claim 47 (Currently Amended): A routing device comprising:

a client interface to receive an operation request from a network router client; and

a router system module to process the operational request and to provide output to the client interface in an XML-tagged format,

wherein the client interface is configured to query a server selected as a function of a the type of the output and to provide a response from the server to the network router client, and

wherein the system module is a BGP protocol module, an OSPF module, or a firewall filter module.

Claim 48 (Cancelled).

Application Number 09/963,806
Amendment Responsive to Office Action mailed September 12, 2006

Claim 49 (Cancelled).

Claim 50 (Currently Amended): A routing device comprising:
a client interface to receive an operational request from a network router client; and
a router system module to process the operational request and to provide output to the client interface in an XML-tagged format,
wherein the client interface is configured to query a server selected as a function of a the type of the output and to provide a response from the server to the network router client, the routing device further comprising a management server module communicatively coupled to the client interface.

Claim 51 (Currently Amended): A routing device comprising:
a client interface to receive an operational request from a network router client; and
a router system module to process the operational request and to provide output to the client interface in an XML-tagged format,
wherein the client interface is configured to query a server selected as a function of a the type of the output and to provide a response from the server to the network router client, the routing device further comprising at least one of a chassis module, a device configuration module, and a routing protocol module.

Claim 52 (Previously Presented): A routing device comprising:
a client interface to receive an operational request from a network router client; and
a system module to process the operational request and to provide a numeric address to the client interface in an XML-tagged format,
wherein the client interface is configured to query a name server to resolve the numeric address to a symbolic name and to provide the symbolic name to the network router client.

Claim 53 (Original): The routing device of claim 52, whercin the system module is a BGP protocol module.

Application Number 09/963,806
Amendment Responsive to Office Action mailed September 12, 2006

Claim 54 (Original): The routing device of claim 52, wherein the system module is an OSPF protocol module.

Claim 55 (Original): The routing device of claim 52, wherein the system module is a firewall filter module.

Claim 56 (Original): The routing device of claim 52, further comprising a management server module communicatively coupled to the client interface.

Claim 57 (Original): The routing device of claim 52, further comprising at least one of a chassis module, a device configuration module, and a routing protocol module.

Claim 58 (Original): A routing device comprising:

a client interface to receive an operational request from a network router client; and
a system module to process the operational request and to provide an XML-tagged IP address to the client interface,

wherein the client interface is configured to query a domain name server to resolve the IP address to a symbolic name and to provide the symbolic name to the network router client.

Claim 59 (Original): The routing device of claim 58, wherein the system module is a BGP protocol module.

Claim 60 (Original): The routing device of claim 58, wherein the system module is an OSPF protocol module.

Claim 61 (Original): The routing device of claim 58, wherein the system module is a firewall filter module.

Claim 62 (Original): The routing device of claim 58, further comprising a management server module communicatively coupled to the client interface.

Application Number 09/963,806
Amendment Responsive to Office Action mailed September 12, 2006

Claim 63 (Original): The routing device of claim 58, further comprising at least one of a chassis module, a device configuration module, and a routing protocol module.

Claim 64 (Previously Presented): A system comprising:

- a client interface to receive an operational request from a network router client;
- a router system module to process the operational request and to provide output to the client interface in an XML-tagged format; and
- a server to provide a response to the client interface;

wherein the client interface is configured to query the server and to provide the response to the network router client.

Claim 65 (Previously Presented): A system comprising:

- a client interface to receive an operational request from a network router client;
- a system module to process the operational request and to provide a numeric address to the client interface in an XML-tagged format; and
- a name server to resolve the numeric address to a symbolic name and to provide the symbolic name to the client interface,

wherein the client interface is configured to provide the response to the network router client.

Claim 66 (Original): A system comprising:

- a client interface to receive an operational request from a network router client;
- a system module to process the operational request and to provide an XML-tagged IP address to the client interface; and
- a domain name server to resolve the IP address to a symbolic name and to provide the symbolic name to the client interface,

wherein the client interface is configured to provide the response to the network router client.